

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,766	06/13/2006	Hiyoshi Tatsuno	F-9125	1527
28107 JORDAN ANI	7590 07/16/200 D HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42N SUITE 4000	ND STREET	OBAID, FATEH M		
NEW YORK.	NY 10168		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,766	TATSUNO, HIYOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FATEH M. OBAID	3627		

	FATEH M. OBAID	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TAX							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 ( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the annronriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee se action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	5 th 07 OFD 44 07	Fig. at 146 to					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
MacConsider Arrows in the North Reconsider Arrows in the Reconstruction of the Reconsider Arrows in the Reconsider Arrows in the Reconstruction in Condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. □ Other:							
/E. Duran, Zarandari/							
/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627	/F. M. O./ Examiner, Art Unit 3627						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration does NoT place the application in condition for allowance: Applicant's arguments field on 78(200 have been considered but are not persuasive. Claims 8 and 9 are withdrawn by the Examiner not 3 and 4. Applicant argues that neither Ramsey nor Kanamori reference teach the feature that the change payer is provided in the one main body cane of the oil supplier apparatus and the change payer enter an idling state when the certain time passes and no change is needed. Applicant is reminded that claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation. The Examiner respectfully disagrees at least for the following reasons: Ramsey in 3:12-24 that an automated vending machine for accepting cash as change, if needed, as part of the motor fuel purchase and a control means for interfacing when the fuel dispenser and the vending machine for enabling the fuel dispenser to dispense designated quantities of motor fuel in response to the cash value calculated by the vending machine. Also in 1:40-51 that dispense change to a purchaser as part of a motor fuel purchasey in 12:38-65 stated that incorporated into the system 100 is a timing means, such as fueling timer 200 integrated in the fuel pump 102 that is stated by the enablement signal sent by the system controller 108. this timer permits the pump from staying in an "on" position or extended periods of time where not further activity is sensed at the pump 102 or cash console 25' by the system controller 108 (it obvious that when it is not on it is empty or idle state). Thus the finality of the previous office action (Final Reciction) is maintained.